

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,350	02/07/2002	Edward Mactas	93318/4	4264	
27614 . 75	27614 7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER		
MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P		
FOUR GATEW	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWARK, NJ	-		3629		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2000	ς	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		1	0/072,350	MACTAS ET AL.	MACTAS ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
			onathan Ouellette	3629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comp period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a pply and will expire SIX (6) MO se the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this capandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>07 Febr</i>	uarv 2002.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or el	ection requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accept	ed or b) Dobjected to	b by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exam	iner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
• —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:	d	ove been received					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies			· ·	I Stane			
	application from the Internation			Treceived in this Hadional	otage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	-	7 77	ot received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	•	5) D Notice of	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/072,350 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

 Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim discloses the same element (calculating time-based low productivity costs associated with departure of the individual from the group and replacement of the individual) twice. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. <u>Claims 1-31</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eder (US 6,393,406 B1) in view of Appelbaum (Appelbaum, Steven H; Hood, Jamie, "Accounting for the firm's human resources," Managerial Auditing Journal, v8n2, pp: 17-24, 1993).
- 4. As per **independent Claims 1, 12, and 22**, Eder discloses a method of computing a measure of individual value (C9 L63-67, C10 L1-5, Intangible element of value) to a group of which the individual is a member (Business enterprise), the method comprising: determining an individual cost associated with the individual with respect to the group (expense component); determining an individual revenue associated with the individual cost, for the individual with

Art Unit: 3629

respect to the group (revenue component); and calculating an individual value, in accordance with the determined individual cost and the determined individual revenue, that quantifies the value of the individual to the group (Claim 1, intangible element value).

- 5. Eder fails to expressly disclose wherein the determined individual revenue includes a computed Actuarial Replacement Value (ARV).
- 6. Appelbaum discloses wherein Human resources costs (which include expense and asset components), includes a replacement cost of current employees (pg.4, Theory Base).
 Appelbaum also discloses calculating the value of an employee for coordination with the replacement cost model (pg.6, The Value of Human Resources).
- 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included wherein the determined individual revenue includes a computed Actuarial Replacement Value (ARV), as disclosed by Appelbaum in the system disclosed by Eder, for the advantage of providing a method of computing a measure of individual value to a group of which the individual is a member, with the ability of increasing the effectiveness of the system by incorporating several inputs that increase the dependability of the valuation estimate.
- 8. As per Claims 2, 13, and 23, Eder and Appelbaum disclose wherein determining individual revenue includes calculating expected revenues generated by the individual while in the group (Appelbaum: Pg.6).
- 9. As per Claims 3, 14, and 24, Eder and Appelbaum fail to expressly disclose wherein computing ARV includes calculating time-based low productivity costs associated with departure of the individual from the group and replacement of said individual.

Art Unit: 3629

10. However, Appelbaum does disclose calculating the replacement cost of an employee to include *costs attributable to the turnover of a present employee*, as well as the cost of acquiring and developing a replacement, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a decrease in production as a cost to the organization when a skilled employee is lost and must be replaced, due to new hire learning curve conditions.

- 11. As per Claims 4, 15, and 25, Eder and Appelbaum fail to expressly disclose computing ARV includes calculating a time-based vacancy cost associated with departure of the individual from the group and replacement of said individual.
- 12. However, Appelbaum does disclose calculating the replacement cost of an employee to include *costs attributable to the turnover of a present employee*, as well as the cost of acquiring and developing a replacement, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a time-based vacancy cost to the organization when a skilled employee is lost and must be replaced, due to the time-based loss in production formally completed by the lost employee.
- 13. As per Claims 5, 16, and 26, Eder and Appelbaum disclose wherein computing ARV includes calculating a new-hire risk cost that includes the computation of expected working lifetime of the individual and of a replacement for the individual (Appelbaum, Pg. 6, calculating individual value to include services the individual is expected to provide during period he or she is anticipated to remain in the organization value used in Replacement Cost Model).

Art Unit: 3629

14. As per Claims 6, 17, and 27, Eder and Appelbaum disclose wherein computing ARV includes obtaining data relating to staffing costs and separation costs, productivity costs, vacancy costs, and new-hire risk costs, and then calculating the individual value metric in accordance with the determined individual cost and the determined individual revenue (Appelbaum, Pgs. 4 and 6).

- 15. As per Claims 7, 18, and 28, Eder and Appelbaum disclose wherein: determining individual revenue includes calculating expected revenues generated by the individual while in the group; and computing ARV includes calculating time-based low productivity costs associated with departure of the individual from the group and replacement of the said individual; calculating a time-based vacancy cost associated with departure of the individual from the group and replacement of said individual; and calculating a new-hire risk cost that includes the computation of expected working lifetime of the individual and of a replacement for the individual (Appelbaum, Pgs. 4 and 6).
- 16. As per Claims 8, 19, and 29, Eder and Appelbaum disclose determining an iRevenue value, defined as the present value of minimum expected revenues to be earned for the group by the individual under consideration; and determining an iCost value, defined as the present value of expected wages to be paid by the group to the individual under consideration; wherein the individual value to the group is determined by the difference of iRevenue and iCost for the individual under consideration (Eder: C9).
- 17. As per Claims 9, 20, and 30, Eder and Appelbaum disclose determining an iProfit value for a year n, defined as the difference of expected revenue E(R.sub.n) contributed to the group by

Art Unit: 3629

the individual under consideration and the expected cost E(C.sub.n) to the group of the individual under consideration for year n (Eder: C9, Table 3).

- 18. As per Claims 10, 21, and 31, Eder and Appelbaum disclose determining an annual value of the individual to the group by dividing the difference of iRevenue and iCost by the expected working lifetime of the individual under consideration (Appelbaum, Pgs.4 and 6).
- 19. As per Claims 11, Eder and Appelbaum disclose computing an Actuarial Revenue Wage Load Factor (ARWLF) that utilizes ARV to provide an ARWLF value for each individual under consideration and to indicate that individual's relative revenue contribution to the group with respect to all other individuals in the group, thereby creating a means of comparing individuals (Appelbaum, Pgs.4 and 6).
- 20. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Appelbaum.
- 21. As per **independent Claim 32**, Appelbaum discloses a method for computing an Actuarial Replacement Value of an individual to a group of which the individual is a member, the method comprising: calculating a new-hire risk cost that includes the computation of expected working lifetime of the individual and of a replacement for the individual; obtaining data relating to staffing costs and separation costs, productivity costs, vacancy costs, and new-hire risk costs; and combining the above-derived quantities.
- 22. Appelbaum fails to expressly disclose calculating a time-based low productivity costs associated with departure of the individual from the group and replacement of the individual; calculating a time-based vacancy cost associated with departure of the individual from the group and replacement of the individual.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,350 Page 7

Art Unit: 3629

23. However, Appelbaum does disclose calculating the replacement cost of an employee to include *costs attributable to the turnover of a present employee*, as well as the cost of acquiring and developing a replacement, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a decrease in production as a cost to the organization when a skilled employee is lost and must be replaced, due to new hire learning curve conditions.

24. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a time-based vacancy cost to the organization when a skilled employee is lost and must be replaced, due to the time-based loss/lag in production formally completed by the lost employee.

Conclusion

- 25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 26. Additional Literature has been referenced on the attached PTO-892 form, and the Examiner suggests the applicant review these documents before submitting any amendments.
- 27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (571) 272-6807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am 5:00pm.
- 28. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

 John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone numbers for the organization

Art Unit: 3629

where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300 for all official communications.

29. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Office of Initial Patent Examination whose telephone number is (703) 308-1202.

April 5, 2006

Jonathan Ouellette

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3600